CASE REPORT Open Access

Atypical tibial fracture in breast cancer patient with bone metastasis receiving denosumab therapy: a case report and review of the literature

Yohei Yanagisawa^{1,2*}, Hidefumi Suzuki², Hisanori Gamada² and Masashi Yamazaki²

Abstract

Background Denosumab therapy is often used to reduce skeletal-related events in metastatic bone disease. On the other hand, there have been some instances of atypical femoral fracture in patients with metastatic bone disease treated with denosumab. In this case report, we describe a patient with metastatic bone disease due to breast cancer who had been using denosumab for 4 years to prevent skeletal-related events and suffered an atypical tibial fracture.

Case presentation We report here the case of an 82-year-old Japanese woman who had received yearly intravenous denosumab for 4 years and presented with a fracture fulfilling the criteria for an atypical fracture, except for being located at the tibial diaphysis. She was found to have stage 4 breast cancer with multiple bone metastases 4 years prior. She had difficulty walking due to her tibial pain and underwent surgical treatment. Four months after surgery, the tibial fracture site exhibited bone fusion.

Conclusion In patients with long-term use of denosumab to prevent skeletal-related events in metastatic bone disease, it is important to be aware of shin and thigh pain and to examine for signs of atypical tibial fractures to pay attention to atypical femoral fractures.

Keywords Atypical fracture, Denosumab, Breast cancer, Skeletal-related events, Metastatic bone disease, Atypical tibia fracture

Background

Antiresorptive drugs are effective in patients with breast cancer-associated bone metastases and prevent the occurrence of skeletal-related events (SREs). These drugs include zoledronic acid, pamidronate, and denosumab [1–4]. Denosumab therapy is often used to reduce SREs

in metastatic bone disease (MBD) [5]. On the other hand, in the literature where the effects of denosumab have been reported, there have been some instances of atypical femoral fracture (AFF) in patients with MBD treated with denosumab [5–7]. In this case report, we describe a patient with MBD due to breast cancer who had been using denosumab for 4 years to prevent SREs and suffered an atypical tibial fracture (ATF). We report this case because it is a rare case of an ATF, probably the first known instance, which may have been induced by denosumab.

¹ Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, University of Tsukuba Hospital, 2-1-1 Amakubo, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8576, Japan ² Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan



^{*}Correspondence: Yohei Yanagisawa yanagisawa@tsukuba-seikei.jp

Case presentation

We report here the case of an 82-year-old Japanese woman who had received yearly intravenous denosumab for 4 years and presented with a fracture fulfilling the criteria for an atypical fracture, except for being located at the tibial diaphysis. There was no history of bisphosphonate or oral glucocorticoid use. She was found to have stage 4 breast cancer with multiple bone metastases 4 years prior. Since then, she has been treated with an aromatase inhibitor and denosumab.

The patient had no obvious history of trauma. One day, while walking, pain appeared in her left shin. A few days after the pain occurred, she visited an outpatient orthopedic clinic. X-ray images from the initial visit are shown below (Fig. 1a and b).

Because her fracture was without any accompanying dislocation, she was followed up at home with full weight bearing on her left leg. Her pain persisted for a month after the initial visit, and she had difficulty walking because of the shin pain. She returned to the orthopedic outpatient clinic, and X-ray images demonstrated a non-displaced transverse fracture of the proximal third of the left tibial diaphysis (Fig. 2a and b).

She had multiple bone metastases to several spinal and pelvic bones 4 years prior, due to breast cancer. (Fig. 2c and d).



Fig. 1 X-ray images at the initial visit for her shin pain. The "beaking sign" (white arrow) occurred due to focal endosteal thickening of the medial cortex in the proximal third of the tibia



Fig. 2 X-ray image demonstrated a non-displaced transverse fracture of the proximal third of the left tibial diaphysis. **a** Anteroposterior (AP) view of the left tibia. **b** Lateral view of the left tibia. **c** Lateral view of the lumber spine. There were multiple metastases of lumber bodies; **d** anteroposterior X-ray of pelvis. There were also multiple bone metastases

The tibial fracture was also considered a differential for a pathological fracture due to a metastatic bone tumor. Therefore, the patient underwent additional imaging examination, including CT and MRI scans. The CT and MRI images did not show any positive findings to suggest a metastatic bone tumor, but they could not rule out the possibility either. At the time of the fracture, the serum biochemical parameters of bone metabolism and cancer markers were 25-hydroxyvitamin D 32 ng/mL, total calcium 10.3 mg/dL, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b

(TRACP-5b) 192 mU/dL, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) 66.3 ng/mL, and cancer antigen 15-3 (CA15-3) 109.7 U/mL.

Her tibial fracture pattern fulfilled all the major criteria (except for the location) and several minor criteria of an atypical fracture. We suspected from these findings that her tibial fracture was atypical.

She had difficulty walking due to pain and underwent surgical treatment. Treatment consisted of an intramedullary nail that immediately resolved the pain (Fig. 3a and b). The cancellous bone within the medullary cavity of the fracture was harvested during intramedullary reaming. The skin near the medial fracture site was also incised, and external periosteum and bone tissue around the fracture site were collected. Pathological examinations were performed on both bone tissue samples. Both samples had no neoplastic changes that could be considered breast cancer-associated bone metastases. Therefore, a pathological fracture due to bone metastases from breast cancer was ruled out, and we diagnosed her tibial fracture as an ATF. Four months after surgery, the fracture site exhibited bone fusion (Fig. 4a and b).

Upon literature review, we discovered that only three previously reported cases of atypical tibia fracture with



Fig. 3 Two-directional X-ray image of her lower leg just after surgery. The intramedullary nail used was the Tibial Nail Advanced (ϕ 10.0 mm, length 270 mm, DePuy Synthes, Paoli, PA)



Fig. 4 Two-directional X-ray image of her lower leg 4 months after surgery. The fracture was united

denosumab had been published. We searched the Pub-Med database for papers related to atypical tibia fractures and discovered 97 references. After checking all of the titles and abstracts, we found three reports of atypical tibia fractures related to denosumab use [8–10]. The results are shown in Table 1.

In one case, the patient had a giant cell tumor of the femur and was administered 120 mg denosumab once a month, the same dose as in this case. All three reports described bilateral tibial lesions. The patient in this study had no pain in the right tibia and no cortical thickness (beaking sign), as was observed in the X-ray images of her left tibia, in the right tibia. Informed consent for the acquisition of data to present in this case report was obtained during the hospitalization, and signed by the patient herself.

Discussion and conclusions

A retrospective analysis of patients who were administered denosumab for MBD revealed the incidence of AFF to be 0.4%–1.8%. AFF is a known adverse event associated with the use of denosumab [6, 7]. Osteoporosis is treated with 60 mg of denosumab every 6 months, whereas bone metastases are treated with 120 mg once a month. The incidence of AFF with denosumab usage at doses used to treat osteoporosis is very rare, with Henry *et al.* reporting only one out of 2626 cases [11]. Takahashi

Table 1.

Author (Year)	Age (years)	Sex	Diagnosis	Antiresorptive agent (duration)	Fracture site	Management
Sian <i>et al.</i> [8] (2015)	60	М	Giant cell tumor	Denosumab (120 mg/ month, 4 years)	Bilateral tibial shaft	Conservative treatment
Schimpf et al. [9] (2018)	76	F	Rheumatoid arthritis, glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis	Risedronate (5 years), Strontium ranelate (2 years), Zoledronate (4 years), Denosumab (60 mg/6-monthly, 2 years)	Bilateral distal tibial metaphyseal fractures	Plate fixations Intramed- ullary nail fixation
Juan <i>et al.</i> [10] (2019)	35	F	Juvenile idiopathic arthritis	Alendronate, teri- paratide, denosumab (2 years, dosage not mentioned)	Bilateral tibial diaphyseal fracture	Intramedullary nail fixation

et al. reported that long-term administration of denosumab (at a dose of 120 mg) is a risk factor for AFF in patients with MBD, as is zoledronic acid [7].

It is unclear at this time whether the risk of developing AFF also pertains to the risk of developing ATF. However, as mentioned below, the patient had a history of highdose, long-term denosumab and Herceptin use, which have been considered risk factors for the development of AFF in patients with MBD in the previous literature [6, 7]. In this case, the drug was used to prevent SREs and was injected at a dose of 120 mg once a month. When used in the treatment of osteoporosis, it is given once every 6 months, but 120 mg is injected monthly to prevent SREs in patients with MBD. The duration of injections has also been reported to be a risk factor for AFF in patients with MBD. In particular, it is noted that administering denosumab for more than 3.5 years poses a risk [7]. In this case, the patient had received denosumab for an extended period of 4 years. Long-term denosumab injections and zoledronic acid administration prior to denosumab have also been identified as a risk factor for AFF in patients with MBD [7]. There was no history of prior zoledronic acid use in this patient. In addition, the risk of AFF in patients with MBD during high-dose denosumab administration is increased with the concomitant use of aromatase inhibitors, in addition to longterm denosumab and prior zoledronic acid usage [6]. The patient continued to use Herceptin in combination with denosumab during the 4-year period, which could have been a risk factor for fractures.

If a low bone metabolic turnover caused atypical femoral [12], ulnar [13, 14], and tibial fractures, as described in previous reports [10], then it is possible that it also contributed to the atypical fractures in this patient. On admission, the TRACP-5b level was measured to be 192 mU/dL, suggesting that osteocyte activity was suppressed.



Fig. 5 X-ray image of the lower limbs of the patient in the standing position, and the axis of lower extremity loading. The dotted lines indicate the bilateral Mikulicz's lines of the lower extremity loading axis.

Other reports suggest that lower extremity loading stress associated with the lower extremity loading axis influences the site of AFF [15]. The relationship between the frontal X-ray image of the standing

position and the axis of lower extremity loading is shown in this case (Fig. 5). In this patient, the Mikulicz's line of the lower extremity loading axis passed through the medial aspect of the knee joint, which was expected because of the O-shaped leg deformity and the internal rebound force on the proximal tibial diaphysis.

In conclusion, the patient had received denosumab at a high dosage for a long period of time (4 years) with no prior exposure to zoledronic acid. On the other hand, there was a history of aromatase inhibitor use while on denosumab. These circumstances suggest that this patient had multiple risk factors for atypical fractures and that her tibial fracture might be atypical. In patients with long-term use of denosumab to prevent SREs in MBD, it is important to be aware of shin pain to examine for signs of ATFs, as we do to be aware of thigh pain for AFFs.

Abbreviations

AFF Atypical femoral fracture
ATF Atypical tibial fracture
MBD Metastatic bone disease
SRE Skeletal-related events

TRAP-5b Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b

Acknowledgements

None.

Author contributions

YY: conceptualization, resources, writing—original draft, and visualization. HS: writing—original draft. HG: resources and writing—original draft. MY: review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

All the required information is available in the manuscript.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Due to the retrospective nature of this study, ethical approval was not required.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 February 2023 Accepted: 22 May 2023 Published online: 21 June 2023

References

 Lipton A, Theriault RL, Hortobagyi GN, Simeone J, Knight RD, Mellars K, et al. Pamidronate prevents skeletal complications and is effective palliative treatment in women with breast carcinoma and osteolytic bone

- metastases: long term follow-up of two randomized, placebo-controlled trials. Cancer. 2000;88:1082–90.
- Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Lipton A, Porter L, Blayney D, Sinoff C, et al. Long-term prevention of skeletal complications of metastatic breast cancer with pamidronate. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16:2038–44.
- 3. Kohno N, Aogi K, Minami H, Nakamura S, Asaga T, Iino Y, et al. Zoledronic acid significantly reduces skeletal complications compared with placebo in Japanese women with bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:3314–21.
- O'Carrigan B, Wong MHF, Willson ML, Stockler MR, Pavlakis N, Goodwin A. Bisphosphonates and other bone agents for breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;10.
- Cadieux B, Coleman R, Jafarinasabian P, Lipton A, Orlowski RZ, Saad F, et al. Experience with denosumab (XGEVA®) for prevention of skeletalrelated events in the 10 years after approval. J Bone Oncol [Internet]. 2022;33:100416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbo.2022.100416.
- Yang SP, Kim TWB, Boland PJ, Farooki A. Retrospective review of atypical femoral fracture in metastatic bone disease patients receiving denosumab therapy. Oncologist. 2017;22:438–44.
- Takahashi M, Ozaki Y, Kizawa R, Masuda J, Sakamaki K, Kinowaki K, et al. Atypical femoral fracture in patients with bone metastasis receiving denosumab therapy: a retrospective study and systematic review. BMC Cancer. 2019;19:1–10.
- Lim SY, Rastalsky N, Choy E, Bolster MB. Tibial stress reaction presenting as bilateral shin pain in a man taking denosumab for giant cell tumor of the bone. Bone [Internet]. 2015;81:31–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2015. 06.017
- Schimpf R, Siekmann H, Bauer C, Radetzki F. Atypical distal tibial shaft fractures in a patient with bilateral TKA and antiresorptive treatment. Orthopade. 2018;47:688–91.
- Tan J, Sano H, Poole K. Antiresorptive-associated spontaneous fractures of both tibiae, followed by an atypical femur fracture during the sequential treatment with alendronate, denosumab then teriparatide. BMJ Case Rep. 2019:12: e229366.
- 11. Bone HG, Wagman RB, Brandi ML, Brown JP, Chapurlat R, Cummings SR, et al. 10 years of denosumab treatment in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis: results from the phase 3 randomised FREEDOM trial and open-label extension. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017;5:513–23.
- Shane E, Burr D, Abrahamsen B, Adler RA, Brown TD, Cheung AM, et al. Atypical subtrochanteric and diaphyseal femoral fractures: second report of a task force of the American society for bone and mineral research. J Bone Miner Res. 2014;29:1–23.
- Binkley N, Goel H, Shives E, Krueger D, Hare K. A probable atypical ulnar fracture in a man receiving denosumab. Bone [Internet]. 2021;143:115726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115726.
- Murai A, Tada K, Nakajima T, Akahane M, Matsuta M, Nakamura Y, et al.
 Case report: prophylactic plate fixation for incomplete atypical ulnar fractures resulting from the use of denosumab for bone metastases. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;12:10–3.
- Saita Y, Kaneko K, Ishijima M. Atypical femoral fractures and bisphosphonate use: current evidence and clinical implications. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2015;6:185–93.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.