CASE REPORT

Open Access



Alireza Pakdel¹, Fardin Asgari¹, Razman Arabzadeh Bahri¹ and Seyed Mohammad Kazem Aghamir^{1*}

Abstract

Background Percutaneous nephrolithotomy is the most commonly used modality for the removal of kidney stones larger than 2 cm in size. Like other stone removal methods, percutaneous nephrolithotomy also has some complications, including bleeding and delayed hematuria. These complications are improved with conservative management and bed rest most of the time. However, it may require more invasive treatments. Angioembolization following an abnormal renal angiography is an efficient treatment modality for delayed hematuria. Furthermore, nephrectomy is suggested in uncontrolled cases of delayed hematuria when renal angiography is normal.

Case presentation We described two cases of uncontrolled delayed hematuria after percutaneous nephrolithotomy and angioembolization were carried out rather than potential nephrectomies. The first case was a 61-year-old Iranian man with left kidney stones, for whom percutaneous nephrolithotomy was planned. The patient was referred to the hospital after discharge with massive hematuria and had normal angiographic findings. An angioembolization was suggested for the patient and was carried out. His hematuria was dramatically improved within 30 minutes, and his hemoglobin level started to increase 2 days later. The second case was a 53-year-old Iranian man with kidney stones who was a candidate for right kidney percutaneous nephrolithotomy. The patient was referred to the hospital 4 days after discharge with a decreased hemoglobin level and massive hematuria. The patient had normal angiographic findings and was planned for angioembolization to control his hemorrhage, which dramatically decreased after the angioembolization within 60 minutes.

Conclusion Embolization of the segmental arteries of the targeted calyx can eliminate hematuria of the patient and prevent further nephrectomy.

Keywords Nephrolithotomy, Percutaneous, Kidney Calculi, Angiography, Nephrectomy

*Correspondence: Seyed Mohammad Kazem Aghamir mkaghamir@tums.ac.ir ¹ Urology Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Introduction

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is the first-line treatment for renal stones larger than 2 cm [1]. The efficacy and safety of PCNL have been approved in a variety of patient groups, including children, patients with obesity, and patients with renal anomalies [2]. Like other stone removal techniques, PCNL also has serious complications, including intraoperative hemorrhage or delayed hematuria. Delayed hematuria is an important adverse



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

event following PCNL, which needs intensive and careful observation. In most cases, the mentioned complications will be improved with conservative management. However, more invasive techniques, such as angioembolization, may also needed as further treatment options [3–6]. Renal angiography is needed in cases with massive and continued hematuria, which can be followed by selective or over-selective angioembolization [7]. Herein, we report two cases with delayed hematuria following PCNL that were selected for angioembolization.

Case presentation

This case report presents two cases on the basis of CARE guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the editor-in-chief of this journal.

Case 1

A 61-year-old Iranian male was referred to the urology clinic in Sina Hospital, affiliated with the Tehran University of Medical Sciences, with left flank pain and microscopic hematuria. The patient denied any prior medical history and medication. A computed tomography (CT) scan was requested for the patient on the basis of examination and hematuria, which revealed a 22×15 mm stone in the middle calyx and a 7×7 mm stone in the lower calyx of the left kidney, and PCNL was thus planned for the patient (Fig. 1).

Preoperative hemoglobin and creatinine levels of the patient were 13.8 g/dl and 1.1 mg/dl, respectively. General anesthesia was induced, and the patient was placed in lithotomy position. A 5 Fr catheter was inserted into the left ureter after using a needle. The patient was then placed in the prone position, and access was achieved to the posteroinferior calyx via fluoroscopy. After inserting

the guidewire, the tract was dilated up to 28 Fr using an Amplatz dilator. The stones were then fragmented by pneumatic lithotripsy and removed using a nephroscope. The patient was discharged on the third postoperative day with a normal general condition. On the seventh postoperative day, the patient was referred to the hospital with massive hematuria and clots in the urine, which resulted in a decreased hemoglobin level of 6.5 g/dl. In addition, the patient had stable hemodynamic status (blood pressure: 110/60 mmHg; heart rate: 95 beats per minute). The transfusion of two units of packed red blood cells (pRBC) was performed for the patient over 2 days, and hemoglobin level increased to 9.5 g/dl. Hematuria continued, regardless of conservative management, and hemoglobin level decreased to 8 g/dl on the next postoperative day. Angioembolization was planned for the patient, and an angiography was performed, which did not reveal any vascular evidence as a source of hemorrhage, such as an arteriovenous fistula or vascular aneurysm. The angioembolization was thus not performed. The patient received a blood transfusion and was urged to complete bed rest. However, massive hematuria of the patient was still present, and hemoglobin level of the patient decreased to 7.5 g/dl within 48 hours. The patient was sent for angioembolization, and in the second attempt, a coil embolization of the segmental arteries was conducted (Fig. 2). Hematuria was dramatically improved after the second intervention within 30 minutes, and hemoglobin level of the patient started to increase 2 days later, up to 9 g/dl. The patient was discharged on the fifth postoperative day with a hemoglobin level of 10.3 g/dl with no signs of post-embolization syndrome, including flank pain or fever, and normal vital signs (blood pressure: 130/80 mmHg; heart rate: 82 beats per minute; temperature: 37.2 °C). The creatinine level of the patient was 1.43 mg/dl, which decreased to 1 mg/dl



Fig. 1 Computed tomography scan of the first case indicating urinary stones in the left kidney



Fig. 2 Left kidney arterial angioembolization of the first case. A lower calyx before coiling, B whole kidney before coiling, C after coiling in the lower calyx

on follow-up evaluations. In terms of the amount of glomerular filtration rate (GFR), there was a slight decrease in the 6-month follow-up, which dropped from 98 ml/ minute/ 1.73 m^2 to 80 ml/minute/ 1.73m^2 .

Case 2

A Iranian man in his 50s was referred to our clinic with right flank pain for 1 month. He denied any medical history or medications. In the physical examination, abdominal tenderness was negative. However, the costovertebral angle (CVA) tenderness was significant. In the urine analysis, hematuria was observed, and computed tomography (CT) scan revealed two stones in the middle and also the inferior part of the right kidney, with dimensions of 12×14 mm and 15×26 mm, respectively. In addition, a 5×6 mm stone in the inferior part of the right ureter was seen (Fig. 3).

The patient was a candidate for right kidney PCNL. The preoperative hemoglobin and creatinine levels of the patient were 15.6 g/dl and 1.02 mg/dl, respectively. Prophylactic antibiotics were also given to the patient. Surgical procedures were similar to our first case. In addition, a double J stent was placed in the patient. On the second day of admission, the patient developed massive hematuria with a hemoglobin level of 10.8 g/dl, which decreased to 7.7 g/dl. The hemoglobin drop was



Fig. 3 Computed tomography scan of the second case indicating urinary stones in the right kidney and ureter

improved by blood transfusion and conservative management, and the vital signs of the patient went back to normal ranges, with a blood pressure of 100/65 mmHg and a heart rate of 90 beats per minute. The patient was discharged from the hospital on the sixth postoperative day with a hemoglobin level of 10.1 g/dl and clear urine with no hematuria. The patient was referred to the hospital 4 days after discharge, which was 10 days after his surgery, with a decreased hemoglobin level of 9 g/dl and massive hematuria, which did not respond to conservative management and transfusion of two units of pRBC during 72 hours. Regardless of the blood transfusion, the hemoglobin level of the patient decreased to 8.6 g/dl, and he was suffering from gross hematuria. However, he had a stable hemodynamic status (blood pressure: 100/60 mmHg; heart rate: 97 beats per minute). The renal angiography of the patient was normal, and no arteriovenous fistula or vascular aneurysm was detected. Segmental angioembolization was planned to control hemorrhage. Coil embolization of the supplying artery of the lower calyx was performed on the basis of the prior attempt to remove the stones from the lower pole of the kidney during PCNL (Fig. 4). The hemorrhage dramatically decreased after the angioembolization, within 60 minutes, and the patient had stable hemodynamics and increased hemoglobin level within 48 hours after the intervention. The patient was discharged with a hemoglobin level of 10.9 g/dl, which increased to 12.4 g/dl in the evaluations. The GFR of the patient had a slight decrease from 112 ml/ minute/1.73m² to 96 ml/minute/1.73 m², which was not significant.

(A)

Discussion

In this study, we have presented two cases of delayed hematuria following PCNL, which underwent angioembolization of segmental arteries after normal angiography findings to control the hemorrhage and manage their hemoglobin drop. PCNL is the most commonly used modality for the removal of kidney stones larger than 2 cm in size, including complex and staghorn stones. Hemorrhage is one of the most significant complications of PCNL, which is mostly self-limiting. In 2-23% of PCNL cases, massive hemorrhage can occur, which requires blood transfusion [8-12]. Delayed hemorrhage, which is seen in 1-1.8% of PCNL cases, can originate from an arteriovenous fistula, a pseudoaneurysm, or trauma to the arteries or veins [13–18]. Venous bleeding can also occur in some patients, which is usually managed with conservative treatment using tamponade nephrostomy tubes [13]. A renal angiography can be helpful as a key factor when the vascular injury is highly suspicious. Additionally, hospitalization time can be reduced by shortening the gap between the hemorrhage and the intervention [19]. Angiography can be utilized as a first-line imaging modality [20]. Following the angiography, an angioembolization, with a success rate between 85% and 100%, is a kidney-sparing intervention [3, 5, 8, 9, 13, 21, 22]. In our study, the outcomes of angioembolization as an intervention for controlling the hemorrhage were positive, which was consistent with the results of prior studies. Regardless of the good and lifesaving results of angioembolization, it can result in some complications, including coil migration, renal artery dissection, loss of renal function, and non-target

(B)



Fig. 4 Right kidney arterial angioembolization of the second case. A lower calyx before coiling, B after coiling in the lower calyx (black arrow)

embolization [11]. It has been reported that angioembolization fails in 5% of cases in terms of stopping hemorrhage [11]. Angioembolization is performed when a pathologic defect can be seen. In addition, an angioembolization can be repeated after one prior unsuccessful attempt. Nephrectomy is considered a therapeutic option when the bleeding is not controlled after two episodes of angioembolization [9]. Considering a possible blind trauma to the tract in PCNL, which may not be seen in the angiography, performing a coil angioembolization of the artery of the targeted calyx may be extremely helpful as well as being a kidney-retaining intervention to prevent possible nephrectomy as a last-line therapeutic option. However, the justification for the embolization of an artery, despite the absence of discernible injury during angiography, is grounded in the acknowledgment of potential risks associated with the translocation of the nephroscope between distinct calvces. This is particularly pertinent in instances where stones are concurrently present in multiple calyces.

Conclusion

It can be concluded that in cases with normal angiographic findings, embolization of the segmental arteries of the targeted calyx can eliminate hematuria of the patient and prevent further nephrectomy.

Abbreviations

- CT Computed tomography
- CVA Costovertebral angle
- GFR Glomerular filtration rate
- PCNL Percutaneous nephrolithotomy
- pRBC Packed red blood cells

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patient and the Urology Research Center at Sina hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Author contributions

AP and FA wrote the manuscript, RB edited the manuscript, and SMKA was principal investigator for reporting the case.

Funding

There was no funding.

Availability of data and materials

Data will be provided on request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This is a case report and has no ethics code. This case report presents two cases on the basis of CARE guidelines. Informed consent was obtained in both written and verbal format from patients to report this case.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.

Competing interests

There is no competing interest.

Received: 19 September 2023 Accepted: 2 February 2024 Published online: 12 March 2024

References

- Assimos D, et al. Surgical management of stones: AUA/Endourology Society Guideline. 2016.
- Skolarikos A, Alivizatos G, De La Rosette J. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy and its legacy. Eur Urol. 2005;47(1):22–8.
- Kessaris DN, et al. Management of hemorrhage after percutaneous renal surgery. J Urol. 1995;153(3):604–8.
- Srivastava A, et al. Vascular complications after percutaneous nephrolithotomy: are there any predictive factors? Urology. 2005;66(1):38–40.
- Martin X, et al. Severe bleeding after nephrolithotomy: results of hyperselective embolization. Eur Urol. 2000;37(2):136–9.
- Sacha K, Szewczyk W, Bar K. Massive haemorrhage presenting as a complication after percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL). Int Urol Nephrol. 1996;28:315–8.
- Mavili E, et al. Transarterial embolization for renal arterial bleeding. Diagn Intervent Radiol. 2009;15(2):143.
- Nouralizadeh A, *et al.* Delayed postpercutaneous nephrolithotomy hemorrhage: prevalence, predictive factors and management. Scand J Urol. 2014;48(1):110–5.
- Jinga V, et al. Transarterial embolization of renal vascular lesions after percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Chirurgia (Bucur). 2013;108(4):521–9.
- 10. El-Nahas AR, *et al*. Post-percutaneous nephrolithotomy extensive hemorrhage: a study of risk factors. J Urol. 2007;177(2):576–9.
- Aminsharifi A, Irani D, Eslahi A. Massive hemorrhage after percutaneous nephrolithotomy: saving the kidney when angioembolization has failed or is unavailable. Int J Surg. 2014;12(8):872–6.
- 12. ArabzadehBahri R, *et al.* Ultrasound versus fluoroscopy as imaging guidance for percutaneous nephrolithotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2023;18(3): e0276708.
- Poulakis V, et al. Treatment of renal-vascular injury by transcatheter embolization: immediate and long-term effects on renal function. J Endourol. 2006;20(6):405–9.
- Lam HS, et al. Evolution of the technique of combination therapy for staghorn calculi: a decreasing role for extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. J Urol. 1992;148(3):1058–62.
- Tefekli A, et al. Does the metabolic syndrome or its components affect the outcome of percutaneous nephrolithotomy? J Endourol. 2008;22(1):35–40.
- Richstone L, et al. First prize (tie): Hemorrhage following percutaneous renal surgery: characterization of angiographic findings. J Endourol. 2008;22(6):1129–36.
- Rastinehad AR, et al. Management of hemorrhagic complications associated with percutaneous nephrolithotomy. J Endourol. 2009;23(10):1763–7.
- Li J, et al. Complication and safety of ultrasound guided percutaneous nephrolithotomy in 8 025 cases in China. Chin Med J. 2014;127(24):4184–9.
- Li L, et al. A multicentre retrospective study of transcatheter angiographic embolization in the treatment of delayed haemorrhage after percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Eur Radiol. 2015;25:1140–7.
- Vignali C, et al. Vascular injuries after percutaneous renal procedures: treatment by transcatheter embolization. Eur Radiol. 2004;14:723–9.
- 21. Ganpule AP, Shah DH, Desai MR. Postpercutaneous nephrolithotomy bleeding: aetiology and management. Curr Opin Urol. 2014;24(2):189–94.
- 22. Patterson DE, *et al*. The etiology and treatment of delayed bleeding following percutaneous lithotripsy. J Urol. 1985;133(3):447–51.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.